



LATE SCOPING CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Consultation bodies have 28 days to respond with any comments, stating either the information that they consider should be included in the ES or that they do not have any comments.

Any responses received after the deadline are not considered within the scoping opinion but are forwarded to the applicant for consideration in accordance with the policy set out in Advice Note 7: Environmental Impact Assessment, Screening and Scoping.

The following EIA scoping consultation responses were received after the consultation deadline specified under legislation and therefore did not form part of the Secretary of State's scoping opinion.

22 December 2014

Development Control
Civic Offices, 2 Watling Street,
Bexleyheath, Kent, DA6 7AT
Tel: 020 8303 7777 Fax: 020 3045 5817
DX31807 Bexleyheath www.bexley.gov.uk



m/r 14/02160/SCOPE
y/r BC080001

tel 0203 045 5760
date 11 DEC 2014

The person dealing with this matter is Mr Richard Turek
richard.turek@bexley.gov.uk

The Planning Inspectorate
3/18 Eagle Wing
Temple Quay House
2 The square
Bristol, BS1 6PN

Attention: Will Spencer

Dear Sir/Madam

**London Paramount Entertainment Resort, Dartford Borough Council.
Scoping consultation as to the information to be provided in an environmental
statement relating to the London Paramount Entertainment Resort.**

I write in response to your letter dated 11th November 2014 (received 13th November 2014) in which it is requested that the London Borough of Bexley inform the Secretary of State of the information it considers should be provided in the environmental statement, or to confirm that no objections are raised, in relation to the proposed development of the London Paramount Entertainment Resort.

The trans-boundary traffic and transport, as well as socio-economic effects, are likely to extend beyond the Kent boundary and into southeast London, and Bexley in particular as the adjoining borough to Dartford. The North Kent Railway line and Crossrail have both been identified in the scoping study as relevant transport connections and, like the A2 and A20 trunk roads, pass/will pass through Bexley between central London and the development. Accordingly, it is recommended that the discussions with relevant highway/transport authorities mentioned in paragraph 9.1 of the report should include the London Borough of Bexley as well as Transport for London. It is also felt that the Wider Area Network Links shown on figure 9.2 may need to be extended further west in respect of the A2 and the A20 to fully and properly assess the cumulative impacts of the development, which would form part of the further discussions with the London Borough of Bexley and Transport for London mentioned in paragraph 9.1.

Accordingly, the Council will wish to be consulted at all further stages in connection with this application.

Yours faithfully


Susan Clark
Head of Development Control

